**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.8422 OF 2000**

**DATED: 11-09-2007**

Between :

K. Krishna Prasad … Petitioner

And

1. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition,
   Revenue Department, Visakhapatnam. … Respondent

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.8422 OF 2000**

**ORDER :**

This writ petition was filed on 8-5-2000 seeking a declaration that the alleged action of the respondent – Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition in not entertaining his claim petition on the basis of an agreement of sale, as arbitrary and illegal.

A counter-affidavit was filed by the respondent on 16-6-2000 denying the allegations made by the petitioner and stating that the award enquiry was not taken up by the date of filing of the writ petition.

Since the allegation of the petitioner that he was not allowed to participate in the award enquiry has been denied in the counter-affidavit, which stood unrebutted in the absence of any affidavit-in-reply, no further adjudication is necessary on merits.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

G. ROHINI, J.

Dt. 11-09-2007

gbs

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.14658 OF 2007**

**DATED: 31-08-2007**

Between :

M. Sridhar … Petitioner

And

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupati,
Chittoor District., and another … Respondents

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.14658 OF 2007**

**ORDER :**

-

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the action of the 2nd respondent in not supplying the essential commodities to the

petitioner who is the authorized dealer of the fair price shop of Anjuru Village, K.V.B. Puram Mandal, Chittoor District as arbitrary and illegal.

The matter was adjourned on 10-7-2007 to enable the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies to get instructions.

The learned Government Pleader under instructions states that though it is a fact that the proposals were submitted before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupati on 21-6-2007 for cancellation of the petitioner's authorization, no order as such has yet been passed and the petitioner's authorization is subsisting as on today.

In the circumstances, while leaving it open to the respondents to take appropriate steps following due process of law, Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to supply the essential commodities to the petitioner as long as the petitioner holds a valid authorisation. No costs.

_____

G. ROHINI, J.

Dt. 31-08-2007

gbs

Note:- CC by 3-9-2007